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1. Introduction

The Kansas City Downtown Streetcar starter line went into service on May 6, 2016. The 2.2-mile line has provided more than 4.9 million trips since opening day (over twice the projections). Due to overwhelming support and enthusiastic public interest in extending the streetcar route, a three-pronged partnership – the City of Kansas City, Missouri (City), the Kansas City Area Transportation Authority (KCATA), and the Kansas City Streetcar Authority (KCSA) – is currently developing Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5309 Capital Investment Grant Program – New Starts Project justification materials and data in support of extending the streetcar approximately 3.5 miles south from its current terminus. The proposed alignment would continue south along Main Street, ending at the Country Club Plaza/University of Missouri – Kansas City (Plaza/UMKC) area. The project would connect the City’s two largest activity centers and would greatly increase and extend the community benefits already being seen from the Downtown Streetcar starter line. This expansion of the streetcar in the Main Street corridor was identified and extensively studied in the NextRail KC study completed in 2013, and is included in the region’s adopted long-range transportation plan, Transportation Outlook 2040.

As mentioned, in 2012 and 2013, the City, in coordination with KCATA, MARC, and Jackson County, initiated a $1.9 million planning study called NextRail KC to evaluate the potential impacts, feasibility, and cost of streetcar expansions in eight designated corridors. Through a phased process that included public/stakeholder engagement, systems overview, route screening, and detailed route analysis, the Main Street corridor streetcar extension, along with two others, was selected by the City Council for endorsement. The Main Street corridor is a key component of the overall regional system and would connect major activity centers, while serving a key redevelopment corridor.

The 3.5-mile-long Streetcar extension route has nine planned stations, including Union Station, 27th Street Station, 31st Street Station, Armour Boulevard Station, 39th Street Station, 43rd Street Station, 45th Street Station, Plaza Station and UMKC Station. The corridor touches five residential neighborhoods, two mixed-use neighborhoods, one museum district, and one educational district.

This document is a report on Economic Development along the Main Street corridor submitted as part of the project justification rating materials package in fulfillment of the requirements specified by Template 2 of the New Starts Project Justification rating process. The intent is to provide FTA and its affiliates project information and materials needed on economic development conditions and transit-supportive plans and policies. The preparation of this report included a review of growth management, transit-supportive corridor policies, supportive zoning regulations near transit stations, tools to implement land use policies, performance of land use policies, and tools to maintain or increase the share of affordable housing.
2. Economic Opportunities

In Kansas City and the region, the entire community is looking to the Downtown Streetcar to fuel economic growth and the Main Street Extension project is a critical element to that growth. By promoting development, raising property values, attracting businesses and residents, and helping to redefine the city, streetcars benefit everyone. Kansas City has had more than $2 billion dollars in economic development underway within the boundaries of the KC Streetcar Transportation Development District (TDD) since the streetcar initiated service in 2016.
As Kansas City has proven with its Downtown Streetcar line, streetcars are significant drivers of economic development. By connecting key community destinations, streetcar systems are ideal for providing connections to jobs, shops, restaurants, and entertainment in urban neighborhoods and downtowns. Because they can connect to multiple destinations within a relatively small area and provide frequent service, streetcars are an effective tool for economic development. In contrast to a light rail system, streetcar alignments are designed for shorter trips. Smaller vehicles also allow for greater maneuverability and integration into the surrounding urban environment.

The Main Street streetcar extension project provides Kansas City with an opportunity to foster economic growth. The Downtown and Midtown areas attract a large number of employees, residents, and visitors daily. A well-designed streetcar system can help facilitate the efficient movement of travelers within the area, while improving visibility to existing activity centers, providing redevelopment opportunities, as well as increasing property values and developer investments. These are some of the economic development opportunities the planned extension of streetcar will provide:

- **Connectivity and Access:** The smaller size and shorter alignment characteristics that are typical of streetcars allow for a higher degree of integration into a community’s existing urban framework. Streetcars can travel alongside vehicular traffic at prevailing speeds and consequently improve visibility of existing activity centers. The existing streetcar alignment travels through the heart of downtown and provides connections to several of the City’s existing activity centers, such as local shops, restaurants, entertainment venues, art galleries, and more. Access is improved to these existing activity centers that were once deemed too far to walk or too inconvenient to drive. More broadly, as local and regional transit are integrated with the streetcar, the Main Street corridor will serve as a regional transit spine – expanding the reach of the streetcar’s economic benefits.

- **Redevelopment:** Streetcars also provide opportunities to redevelop neglected and blighted areas. In order to reinforce the success of the streetcar system, the City acknowledges the need to improve the surrounding urban environment and improve physical, social, and economic conditions. The Kansas City Streetcar Authority reports that since 2012, there have been a total of nine renovation projects either completed or under construction adjacent to the streetcar corridor. As of March 2018, there are another 13 renovation/redevelopment projects planned. Combined, the renovation/redevelopment projects under construction or planned represent just under $850 million in investments.

- **Added Value:** Enhanced access to existing urban amenities makes a neighborhood more desirable for potential residents and commercial tenants. The increased demand for residential and commercial space adjacent to a streetcar system will also lead to higher property values. As a result, property owners will be able obtain higher rents from retail and residential tenants bringing more revenue to the area. In March 2018, the Kansas City Streetcar Authority reported six multi-family housing complexes under construction and another six complexes planned in the existing streetcar corridor. Commercial developments under construction as of March 2018 include seven hotels and another three hotels planned. Seven office complexes are also planned for the corridor.
• **Developer Investments:** As indicated above, streetcar systems play an important role in attracting developer investments – the downtown line has proven that. Real estate investment decisions from private developers often require predictability over longer periods of time. Streetcar projects offer a sense of permanence that other alternative transportation projects do not because of the infrastructure required. Public and private investments in a streetcar system signal to developers that a strong commitment to the neighborhood has been made and the success of the system is supported by the community and local government. Additionally, streetcars can also promote more efficient land use and, in some cases, can reduce on-site parking requirements. These land-use efficiencies impact the developer’s bottom line and can free up additional space for other uses such as additional retail space or residential units. All of these reasons can help accelerate the timing of new development and increase the amount of development that takes place within the area.

Examples of how these types of benefits have occurred on the starter line are pictured on the following page.
3. Transit-Supportive Plans and Programs

3.1 Growth Management

3.1.1 Concentration of Development around Established Activity Centers and Regional Transit

The region and corridor have a number of policies that support development, infill, or redevelopment (particularly densification) in established urban centers and activity centers including major transit facilities.

MARC Transportation Outlook 2040

Kansas City’s metropolitan transportation plan includes a policy framework that forms the foundation for long-range transportation planning. One of the key strategies of the plan includes “…creating quality places that support a range of lifestyle and transportation choices, promote increased density through mixed-use development and use a centers-and-corridors strategy in planning efforts.” The plan has a focused goal of promoting investment and development in areas of existing concentration, such as downtown and the Main Street corridor in midtown. The in-progress update of this plan, RTP 2050, continues to strongly support this centers-and-corridors strategy.

Midtown/Plaza Area Plan

The City has adopted an Area Plan for the midtown area that includes strategies to help realize the long-term vision for the future and provide a comprehensive framework to guide public decisions on land use, housing, public improvements, community development, and city services. Key goals related to development concentration include:

- Strengthen and invest in the existing commercial nodes, while fostering an environment that attracts and retains quality businesses.
- Preserve, enhance, and invest in the area’s regional destinations.

The plan’s guiding principles for land-use and development also include several relevant elements:

- Reinforce and embrace an urban development pattern.
- Ensure adequate infrastructure is provided to enable development/redevelopment.

The plan also looked at each property in the southern portion of the planning area and made a recommendation regarding its long-term future, in one of several categories:
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- Potential Redevelopment
- Potential Redevelopment within existing zoning
- No increase in zoning intensity
- Maintain predominant form
- Determined historic resource

**Main Street Overlay District**

The Main Street Overlay zone extends from 27th Street to Emanuel Cleaver II Boulevard on Main Street, which covers the majority of the proposed streetcar extension. The stated purpose of the overlay is to "create design standards that promote the use of transit, context-sensitive density, pedestrian-friendly spaces, and overall design excellence. Uses are regulated by this overlay to ensure compatibility between transit and adjacent uses." This document was intentionally crafted to facilitate supportive land-use and development along the Main Street corridor in preparation for the streetcar extension.

- The overlay establishes a minimum density requirement and identifies "Transit Zones" where the highest density minimums are required and the tallest buildings and highest floor-area ratio (FAR) in are allowed.
- The overlay prohibits or limits (through special use permit and/or development standards) uses that are not transit-supportive such as auto-oriented uses (drive through, gasoline sales, vehicle sales and service).
- The overlay includes development standards that are designed to ensure a pedestrian-friendly environment and limit vehicular conflicts with streetcar operations.

The Main Street Overlay District focuses largely on transit-friendly redevelopment of the corridor – identifying “Transit Nodes” as key areas for dense urban development clustered around existing and future transit stops.

**Downtown Zoning**

As an example of the City’s commitment to density and concentration of development – when the streetcar was implemented Downtown, the City created the Downtown Streetcar Area that includes areas zoned DC (Downtown Core) and DX (Downtown Mixed-Use). DC areas are intended to promote high-density office and employment growth and accommodate mixed-use, commercial, public, recreation, and entertainment uses. DC areas have no minimum off-street parking requirements. DX zoning aims to accommodate office, commercial, custom manufacturing, public, institutional, and residential development. This spirit/approach is echoed in the Main Street Overlay described above.
Figure 1. Overlay Zones from Main Street Overlay Document

ZONE DESCRIPTIONS

A. NEIGHBORHOOD MAIN STREET

Neighborhood Main Streets provide a diverse critical mass of walkable services for adjacent neighborhoods. These are anchor residential neighborhood reinvestment and revitalization and restore the vibrant walkable character of the corridor and adjacent neighborhoods.

B. TRANSIT NODES

Transit nodes are centered around a rapid transit stop. Transit nodes encourage an appropriate mixture of density and uses around rapid transit stops to increase ridership and support transit investments. These nodes are characterized by compact development that facilitates access between rapid transit stops and nearby residential, commercial, civic, recreational, and institutional uses.

C. TRANSITIONAL ZONES

Transitional zones provide a balanced transition from Transit Nodes to Neighborhood Main Streets.
**Main Street Extension Economic Development**

### Downtown Streetcar TDD

The City instituted the Downtown Streetcar Transportation Development District (TDD) Project Incentive & Coordination Program to provide development process service incentives to projects within the TDD, encouraging development, redevelopment, and infill. The City also seeks to limit surface parking lots through the TDD: surface lots within the TDD are applied a special assessment to their property tax.

### AdvanceKC

Launched by the City in 2011, the AdvanceKC economic development strategy initiative was created to determine how the City could more effectively use its competitive assets to grow the economy. Potential City projects are evaluated for City assistance and incentives through the use of a scorecard assigning numerical values to desired qualitative traits of new development. The scoring system favors projects that prioritize community needs, such as affordable housing, increased density, and transit oriented development, particularly along the streetcar half-mile walkshed.

### 3.1.2 Land Conservation and Management

Several plans and initiatives focus on land conservation and growth management in the region and corridor are described below:

**MARC Metro Green Plan**

MetroGreen is an interconnected system of public and private natural areas, greenways and trails linking together communities throughout the Kansas City metropolitan area. MetroGreen continues a long tradition of valuing green space in the Kansas City area by extending the “parkways and boulevards” concept of the 1894 Kessler Plan for Kansas City, Missouri. MetroGreen extends and enhances this commitment through the identification of a network of greenways connecting many of the region’s most valuable natural, recreational and cultural assets. Regional and local protection strategies include land acquisition, incentives for property owners, environmental regulation, and other conservation tools. The plan identifies opportunities to conserve, restore, and connect the region’s most significant natural areas.
Midtown/Plaza Area Plan

This plan, described previously, specifically outlines growth management strategies for areas adjacent to the streetcar corridor that respond to the goal of "providing integrated modes of transportation (transit, bicycle, pedestrian, automobile, etc.) to get people from one place to another within and throughout the plan area." The plan states, "the development pattern within the Midtown/Plaza area is advantageous to pedestrian, bicycle, driver, and transit users, allowing them to meet their daily needs. All future development and redevelopment projects must assimilate to this pattern". The plan further states, "The Midtown/Plaza area’s density, built form, and street grid originally served transit users and pedestrians. That pattern should be respected and reinforced with any future development/redevelopment".

MARC Sustainable Places Policy Committee

The Sustainable Places Policy Committee (SPPC) was formed in spring 2015 to follow up on the Creating Sustainable Places initiative, which ran from 2011–2013. The SPPC provides leadership and policy advice to MARC’s Board of Directors in regional sustainable development. Under the guidance of the SPPC, MARC works with local communities to update and implement land-use strategies that support transportation, equity, environment, and conservation principles. The SPPC strives to integrate transportation, environment, housing, public health, economic development and other plans and policies in support of regional and local land use plans and objectives. A key goal of the SPPC is to advance integrated regional policy initiatives related to infrastructure and land use development to implement the regions adopted vision.

Transit-Oriented Development Policy

The City of Kansas City Development Management Division has adopted growth management/development policies that specifically target TOD development. In short, the TOD policy establishes appropriate boundaries and transitions in a new TOD overlay to support successful development and protection of adjacent neighborhoods. The plan includes recommendations regarding zoning for, and encouraging density in, TOD areas, incentivizing mixed-use development, promoting active ground-floor uses, promoting transit-supportive uses, and incentivizing affordable housing. These elements of the policy foster developments that are transit-friendly.

Transportation Development District (TDD)

As previously alluded to, the City also seeks to encourage the highest and best use of land by limiting surface parking lots through the existing Transportation Development District (TDD) that will be expanded in conjunction with the streetcar extension. Surface parking lots within the TDD are applied a special assessment to their property tax.

3.2 Transit Supportive Corridor Policies

3.2.1 Plan and Policies to Increase Corridor and Station Area Development

The City is actively supporting increased development along the corridor. Plans and policies established to increase corridor and station area development as described as follows:

Transit-Oriented Development Policy

In early 2017, the Kansas City, Missouri Transit-Oriented Development Policy was adopted by the City Council. The policy includes recommendations regarding TOD incentives, infrastructure prioritization in TOD areas, zoning for and encouraging density in TOD areas, and incentivizing affordable housing. These elements of the policy encourage more and denser development (refer to Figure 3 on Page 9).
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The Main Street Overlay

The Main Street Overlay District is a key document described in various places herein, and points directly to denser development along the corridor, especially near streetcar/transit stations.

Midtown/Plaza Area Plan

The plan approved by the City Council in 2016, covers a 6.5-square-mile area that contains 2.5 of the proposed streetcar extension’s 3.5 miles. Relevant plan recommendations include the development of nodes at 31st Street/Linwood Boulevard, Armour Boulevard, 39th Street, 43rd Street, Ward Parkway, and 51st Street. Nodes are defined as “small, compact area[s]... Generally serving as center[s] of activity but can have different intensities of use and building scale.” Most of the rest of Main Street is defined as a “corridor,” which includes “Linear land-use patterns... that quickly transition to different patterns... generally Residential or Mixed-Use... Connect districts, nodes and neighborhoods featuring a greater density of commercial and/or residential uses.”
Figure 3. Kansas City TOD Plan (Excerpted Elements)
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MARC Metropolitan Transportation Plan

The plan identifies three primary goals to provide a framework for a more vibrant, connected and green region. The plan promotes mixed-use and centers-and-corridors development strategies. Each goal has an objective that will promote and support transit and Transit-oriented Development:

- **Vibrant**: Coordinate transportation and land-use investment along the region’s corridors and centers as a means to create vibrant places and strengthen the quality of the region.
- **Connected**: Expand affordable, accessible, multimodal transportation options in order to better connect residents and visitors to jobs and services.
- **Green**: Decrease the use of fossil fuels through reduced travel demand, technology advancements and a transition to renewable energy sources.

Transportation Outlook 2040

The plan shows that the Main Street corridor has a High to Very High Transit Propensity Index, which takes into account population and employment densities, household income, zero-car households, and other demographic data. The Main Street corridor was also determined to have a high walkability index, as well as high scores in transit access and land use diversity. Land Use strategies recommended in Transportation Outlook 2040 include strengthening the land use and transportation relationship in the activity centers and key corridors identified in the plan to develop multimodal opportunities, promote mixed-use development, and increase density.

Tax Increment Financing Districts

There are four Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Districts in the project corridor. Each of the TIF districts was established with the goal of revitalizing and upgrading the properties in the district for improved residential and commercial uses. Each TIF, the proposed station served, and their primary purpose is listed below:

- **Union Hill TIF**: 31st Street station – Upgrade existing housing
- **Midtown TIF**: between 31st Street and Armour Street Stations - Upgrade existing housing and add retail
- **Country Club Paza TIF**: near Plaza Station – New and upgraded commercial properties, new hotel units, and new parking structure
- **Bush Creek Corridor TIF**: 45th Street and Plaza stations – Mixed-use development, office, retail, dining, and Post Office space

The improvements undertaken by the TIFs will add to the mix of uses and generate an environment for reinvestment that will take advantage of and support the proposed streetcar extension.

3.2.2 Plan and Policies to Enhance Transit-Friendly Character of Corridor and Station Area Development

The City and region strongly support a transit-friendly environment throughout the corridor through a number of plans and initiatives. Many of these activities are an outgrowth of the downtown streetcar, and of a desire to proactively plan to maximize the opportunities afforded by the proposed extension.
Main Corridor Overlay District

The Main Street Overlay designates three zones along Main Street: Neighborhood Main Street, Transit Node, and Transitional Zone. Each zone promotes walkability through building setbacks, materials, signage, and parking requirements.

- Transit Nodes are focused around proposed streetcar stations and promote “…compact development that facilitates access between rapid transit stops and nearby residential, commercial, civic, recreational, and institutional uses.” These zones allow building heights up to 15 stories.
- Neighborhood Main Street Zones are anchors for residential development and are designed to promote walkability along the corridor.
- Transition Zones link the Transit Nodes and Neighborhood Main Street Zones. These zones allow building heights up to 10 stories.

Main Street Corridor Development Corporation (MainCor)

MainCor is a not-for-profit Community Development Corporation (CDC) focused on Kansas City’s Main Street Corridor, working to support commercial, mixed-use, and residential progress, and effective and responsible community, economic, and aesthetic development that creates and encourages the renewal and vitality of Midtown. MainCor actively works with developers and property owners to encourage transit-friendly development and redevelopment in Midtown. MainCor was instrumental in establishment of the MAX BRT service and is a champion for the streetcar extension.

Main Street Community Improvement District (CID)

The Main Street CID covers properties facing either side of Main Street from 27th Street to Emmanuel Cleaver II Boulevard, and has been established to clean, maintain, and monitor the Main Street right-of-way to promote a safe, walkable, and vibrant community.

Main Street District Façade Grant Program

The program is designed to provide rehabilitation grants to owners of commercial property and businesses within the boundaries of the Main Street Community Improvement District (MSCID). The Program can grant up to $30,000 in funds per fiscal year of the MSCID. The central purpose of the program is to improve the attractiveness and commercial appeal of the targeted area.

Smart Moves 3.0

Smart Moves 3.0 is the 20-year transit plan for the Kansas City area. It is a robust plan that includes broad community goals in its thinking. The plan promotes transit-supportive policies through the following goals:

- **Placemaking** – investing along transit corridors
- **Equity** – ensure equitable access to jobs, goods, and services
- **Transportation choices** – expand mobility options
- **Environment** – Improve air quality and conserve natural resources through increased transit use and transit-oriented development
Relevant recommendations from Smart Moves 3.0 include:

- Encourage zoning and land use strategies that re-organize transit benefits
- Encourage multimodal hubs where connections between different modes of travel can occur
- Adjust routes to serve more employment centers
- Add faster and more frequent service on key corridors
- Foster technology innovation that promotes and facilitates transit use

Main Corridor Streetscape Master Plan

The master plan was adopted by the City Council in 2008, and was intended to transform what was then a fairly unattractive and pedestrian-unfriendly corridor into a safe, inviting, multimodal environment with a sense of place. The entire streetscape plan is within the streetcar extension limits. The first, award-winning phase of the plan (34th Street to 44th Street, $5.5 M) was implemented in 2012. Streetscape elements included sidewalk repair, accessible curb ramps, pedestrian-scale lighting, bike racks, trees, planter wells, benches, and street furniture – elements that make the corridor more attractive to both pedestrians and transit users. The second phase, covering 30th Street to 34th Street, is funded and designed. The third phase, covering 34th Street to Pershing Boulevard, is under design and is being carefully coordinated with the streetcar project, especially in coordination with station area planning at 27th Street. This last phase will also complete the missing piece of sidewalk, roughly 2,000 feet long, on the east side of Main Street between Grand Street and Pershing Boulevard.
3.2.3 Plans to Improve Pedestrian Facilities, Including Facilities for Persons with Disabilities

It is important to note that the Downtown Streetcar project itself included pedestrian improvements such as accessible curb ramps and pedestrian signals, and the Main Street extension will be coordinated with Main Street streetscape projects, GO KC, and other initiatives to bring such improvements to the corridor as warranted.

Main Corridor Streetscape Master Plan

The city’s adopted Main Corridor Streetscape Master Plan outlines specific pedestrian facility improvements including sidewalk repair, accessible curb ramps, pedestrian-scale lighting, bike racks, trees, planter wells, benches, street furniture – and has transformed the corridor into a much more pedestrian-accommodating place, and will continue to do so as implementation moves north of 34th Street.

Complete Streets Ordinance

The City Council adopted a Complete Streets ordinance in 2017, which, among other things, indicated that all City transportation facilities shall be designed, constructed, and operated to adhere to the intent of Complete Streets. The City will approach every transportation improvement and project phase as an opportunity to create safer, more accessible streets for users. The ordinance also helped streamline existing bike and sidewalk policies and added oversight from city committees.

Transit-Oriented Development Policy

The City’s TOD policy includes several elements geared toward pedestrian- and transit-oriented street design. With the recent investment in transit, the City has recognized the importance of a policy that guides the establishment of transit supported development, zoning, and public investments in the city. The City’s approach to TOD is characterized by dense, compact development with a mix of uses in a pedestrian-oriented environment. The policy identifies the critical elements of a successful TOD and provides a concise program of initiatives to implement TOD in Kansas City. Pedestrian and transit-oriented street design initiatives are included in the policy statements that span social, cultural, economic, and environmental goals.

GO KC Sidewalk Program

The program is a complete overhaul of the City’s approach to sidewalks, and has included development of a prioritization point system that emphasizes transit stops/terminals, population/employment density, connectivity gaps, and a number of other pedestrian-oriented criteria. Most of the proposed streetcar extension route is rated Priority 1 or 2 (out of 5).

Special Parking Regulations

The City has established special parking regulations that apply to lots located within 1,000 feet of a rapid transit stop, including waiving parking requirements for:

- The first 10,000 square feet of gross floor area for office and manufacturing uses
- The first 4,000 square feet for retail and sales related uses
- The first 2,000 square feet for restaurant uses
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DC Downtown Core Zoning District

In conjunction with the Downtown streetcar line, the City developed the “DC” downtown core zoning district, which has no minimum off-street parking requirements. This zoning was created to promote development as well as transit ridership. The City is currently contemplating something similar for the Main Street extension. The specific type of exemption or reduction is still under review, as the character of the corridor, with nearby adjacent neighborhoods on many portions, requires more consideration for the potential for parking intrusion.

These regulations apply both to MAX BRT lines and streetcar lines. Thus, the streetcar extension will have reduced parking requirements in the vicinity of the stops. In addition to the rapid transit station provisions, up to 25 percent parking reduction can be realized if a non-residential use is within 500 feet of a transit line with less than 30-minute headways.

Shared Parking Arrangements

City code (Development Code 420-16-I) allows for the City Planning and Development Director to approve alternative compliance parking plans allowing shared parking arrangements for uses compatible with such a practice. Parking space requirements can be reduced up to 50 percent if Transportation Demand Management (TDM) practices are applied, such as promoting transit use, ridesharing, and vanpools; or using off-peak work schedules. Landscaping is required around all off-street surface and structured parking.

Furthermore, on the Main Street corridor, less formal shared-parking agreements have evolved (for example, between the Madrid Theatre and the Whole Person, and between St. Paul’s Episcopal Day School and the Community Blood Center)

Midtown-Plaza Area Plan

The City’s Midtown-Plaza Area Plan (2016), the guiding document for the area of town that includes the majority of the proposed streetcar extension corridor, recommended the phasing out of surface parking lots wherever possible. Topics such as incentives and shared-parking strategies are to be considered in working toward this goal.

Transportation Development District (TDD)

The City also seeks to limit surface parking lots through the existing Transportation Development District (TDD) that was established for the Downtown Streetcar line, and has been voter-approved for expansion as the streetcar is extended south on Main Street. Surface parking lots within the TDD are applied a special assessment to their property tax.

3.3 Supportive Zoning Regulations near Transit Stations

3.3.1 Zoning Ordinances that support increased development Density in Transit Station Areas

Figure 4 illustrates existing zoning in the corridor. The proposed streetcar alignment traverses a primarily moderate-density urban area and has zoning that allows for a mix of uses.

The Residential zones in the corridor (R-0.3, R-0.5, R-1.5, and R-2.5) allow for single and multi-family uses, as well as neighborhood-friendly commercial uses such as dining, retail, and institutional. The lower-numbered residential codes allow for multifamily towers in excess of 100 feet. The maximum
height in the higher-numbered zones is limited to 40 to 45 feet. The Residential zones in the corridor allow for smaller lots (less than 4,000 sq. ft.) and moderate front setbacks (15 to 25 feet).

The Commercial zones in the corridor (B1-1, B3-2, B4-5, and O-3) also allow for single and multi-family uses. These Commercial zones allow for a mix of commercial uses from dining and retail to office and professional. The typical FAR allowed in these zones ranges from 3 to 4 with a maximum height of 60 to 70 feet. Commercial zones do not require a minimum lot size for commercial uses and no front setback, unless the zone shares frontage with an adjacent Residential zoned lot – in which case, it must meet the residential setback. Supplementing the standard zoning categories are special zoning categories to allow for uses or a mix of uses not allowed otherwise, or to allow modified lot and building standards. These zones, Master Planned Development (MPD) and Urban Redevelopment (UR), are applied to properties to promote redevelopment and preserve character, such as historical architecture. Several of these zones occur in the corridor.
### Table 1: Zoning near Proposed Streetcar Stations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF and MF; 235 feet height limit; Neighborhood retail, office &amp; professional uses; lodging allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF and MF; 164 feet height limit; Neighborhood retail, office &amp; professional uses; lodging allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF and MF; 45 feet height limit; Neighborhood retail; office &amp; professional uses; lodging allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF and duplex; 40 feet height limit; Neighborhood retail; lodging allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF and duplex; 35 feet height limit; Neighborhood retail and lodging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>SF and duplex on corner lot; 35 feet height limit; Neighborhood retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1-1</td>
<td>Neighborhood Business 1</td>
<td>SF and MF, dining, financial, office, and low-intensity retail/services; Bed and Breakfast lodging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3-2</td>
<td>Community Business</td>
<td>SF and MF, retail, office, dining, entertainment, institutional, lodging; FAR = 3, Height = 60'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4-5</td>
<td>Heavy Business/Commercial</td>
<td>SF and MF, retail, office, dining, entertainment, institutional, lodging, some light industrial; FAR = 4, Height = 70'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>MF, most retail, commercial, and professional; FAR = 3; Height = 60'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1-5</td>
<td>Manufacturing 1</td>
<td>Residential, commercial, most industrial uses, special permits for outdoors manufacturing; FAR = 1.4, Height = 40'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPD</td>
<td>Master Planned Development</td>
<td>Allows for development that doesn't fit existing zoning, Mixed-use, preserve character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR/O</td>
<td>Special Review Overlay</td>
<td>Stabilize prop values, preserve character, design standards required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR</td>
<td>Urban Redevelopment</td>
<td>Promote redevelopment in blighted areas, preserve character, ensure compatibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A breakdown of zoning by station area is provided in Table 2 below:

**Table 2: Zoning Breakdown by Streetcar Station**

| Station          | R-0.3 | R-0.5 | R-1.5 | R-2.5 | R-5  | R-6  | BI-1 | BI-5 | B1-5 | B3-2 | B4-5 | O-3 | M1-5 | MPD | SRO | UR |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Union Station    | •     | •     |       |       | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| 27th Street      |       |       | •     |       | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| 31st Street      | •     |       | •     |       | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| Armour Blvd.     | •     | •     | •     |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| 39th Street      | •     | •     | •     |       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| 43rd Street      | •     | •     | •     | •     | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| 45th Street      | •     | •     | •     | •     | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| Plaza/47th St.   | •     | •     | •     | •     | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |
| UKMC/51st St.    | •     | •     | •     | •     | •    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |     |     |     |    |    |

**Main Corridor Overlay**

Coupled with the Transit-oriented Development Policy, this overlay zone provides the means to implement denser, transit-supportive development. In the proposed project corridor, the Main Street Overlay zone has been applied to support the streetcar with standards such as the following:

- Focuses density/building heights around transit stations, and establishes a minimum density requirement by setting a minimum building height and a minimum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) along the entire corridor
- Prohibits auto-oriented uses/large-format uses that aren’t transit compatible
- Includes pedestrian-oriented development standards (building orientation, parking lot location, ground floor transparency, etc.)
- Minimizes driveways

The overlay extends from 27th Street to Emanuel Cleaver II Boulevard on Main Street, which covers the majority of the proposed streetcar extension.

**Zoning Incentives**

The relaxed parking regulations along the existing corridor, as well as those along the downtown streetcar corridor (which are expected to be extended in some form along with the streetcar extension),
are discussed in detail in the parking sections of these templates. In addition, the expedited permit review procedures (“TDD Project Incentive and Coordination Program”) and criteria, also described elsewhere in this report, are similarly expected to be replicated in some form along the corridor.

3.3.2 Zoning Ordinances that Enhance Transit-Oriented Character of Station Area Development and Pedestrian Access

The previously mentioned Master Planned Development (MPD) and Urban Redevelopment (UR) zoning categories, applied to properties to promote redevelopment and preserve character, are found in several places throughout the corridor.

The previously mentioned Main Street Overlay zone extends from 27th Street to Emanuel Cleaver II Boulevard on Main Street, which covers the majority of the proposed streetcar extension. The stated purpose of the overlay is to "create design standards that promote the use of transit, context-sensitive density, pedestrian-friendly spaces, and overall design excellence. Uses are regulated by this overlay to ensure compatibility between transit and adjacent uses."

- The overlay establishes a minimum density requirement and identifies "Transit Zones" where the highest density minimums are required and the tallest buildings and highest FAR in are allowed.
- The overlay prohibits or limits (through special use permit and/or development standards) uses that are not transit-supportive such as auto-oriented uses (drive through, gasoline sales, vehicle sales and service).
- The overlay includes development standards that are designed to ensure a pedestrian-friendly environment and limit vehicular conflicts with streetcar operations. These standards:
  - Include architectural guidelines to help ensure a visually pleasing street for pedestrians.
  - Require buildings be built to the street.
  - Require buildings to occupy 70 percent of the street frontage.
  - Require primary building entrances to provide direct access to Main Street.
  - Require street-level transparency along building walls.
  - Require off-street parking to be located behind or alongside the building.
  - Require access from side streets or alleys where available.
  - Limit the number of driveways on Main Street.
  - Limit egress to right turn only.
3.3.3 Zoning Allowances for Reduced Parking and Traffic Mitigation

Zoning-related parking requirements

Parking for multi-family and all non-residential uses cannot be between the front building line and the street. Off-street parking must be on the side or rear and must be screened from the street view.

Overlay zones can modify parking requirements with city approval. Shared parking and parking reductions for Transportation Demand Management practices, such as promoting transit use, ridesharing, and vanpools; or using off-peak work schedules are allowed.

Bicycle parking is required for multifamily uses over 12 units and for all non-residential uses. Parking ratios are determined by activity and square footage.

Parking requirements near transit

While there are currently no parking maximums on the corridor, City Code (88-420-04-J) does provide for the following parking reductions within 1,000 feet of a rapid transit stop (including streetcar stops):

- Office and manufacturing uses are not required to provide off-street parking spaces for the first 10,000 square feet of gross floor area.
- The following uses are not required to provide off-street parking for the first 4,000 square feet of gross floor area: artist work or sales space, food and beverage retail sales, personal improvement service, and retail sales.
- Uses may not exceed otherwise applicable minimum off-street parking requirements by more than 3 spaces or 33 percent, whichever is greater, unless such "extra" spaces are provided in a parking garage.
- Restaurants are not required to provide off-street parking for the first 2,000 square feet of gross floor area per building.
- The above exemptions may be used in combination with any other exemption, reduction, or special area standards.

In addition to the rapid transit station provisions, up to 25 percent parking reduction can be realized if a non-residential use is within 500 feet of a transit line with less than 30-minute headways.
In conjunction with the implementation of the Downtown Streetcar, the City revised its codes (99-420-04-L) to waive off-street parking requirements for all uses in the Downtown Streetcar Area. The City expects to similarly revisit parking requirements on the extension corridor as the project moves toward implementation. The precise modifications are still under discussion, as parking policy directions must be sensitive to the adjacent neighborhoods scattered throughout the corridor.

3.4 Tools to Implement Land Use Policies

3.4.1 Outreach to Government Agencies and the Community in Support of Transit-Supportive Planning

The City and region have produced several documents that involved a great deal of outreach to agencies and the community. Highlights include:

*MARC Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing 2016*

The cities used a number of strategies to inform the public about the plan and encourage their participation. These included postings on websites (city, MARC, mySidewalk); digital ads targeted to internet users in zip codes where public meetings were scheduled; social media; newspaper advertisements in nine newspapers (including Spanish media); radio advertisements through Reyes Media and KPRS to reach minority audiences; presentations to community organizations; and 23 public meetings conducted in English and Spanish. A community survey was posted on websites and distributed through the Regional Equity Network and at public meetings.

The plan identified that past highway-oriented public policies in the region had a negative impact on the public transportation system and job connectivity for Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAPs). The plan had two key goals related to this issue: (a) to form partnerships between local governments, private employers, and neighborhood organizations to develop transportation options that connect these populations with job opportunities; and (b) to update the regional transit plan to address and reinforce these connections.

*MARC Sustainable Code Framework*

As part of MARC’s Creating Sustainable Places effort, this framework focuses on a series of 22 “Sustainable Development Concepts” and has resulted in a model sustainable development code website, along with a series of development code audits for eight metro area cities. Development of the framework involved close coordination with these eight agencies. The framework includes a series of concepts, strategies, and codes – several of which are relevant to transit supportive planning, such as “Transit-Ready Corridors,” “Compact, Walkable Centers,” “Pedestrian-Oriented Public Realm,” “Mixed Density Neighborhoods,” “Complete Street Design,” “Diverse Housing Types,” and more.

*MARC RTP 2050*

The Regional Transportation Plan (which is building on the adopted 2040 plan) includes a robust, multi-phased Public and Stakeholder Engagement Plan. Outreach efforts so far have included a regional survey, several stakeholder symposia, email list, social media, and an ongoing transportation blog. To date, the plan has outlined a series of eight needs for the region’s future transportation system. Three of these needs directly mention transit-supportive concepts:
Main Street Extension Economic Development

- **Centers and Corridors Focus**: Includes potential measures such as transit service levels connecting centers and corridors, and walkability index.

- **Access to Opportunity**: Includes potential measures such as percent of jobs accessible via transit within a specified commute time, and ratio of transportation-disadvantaged populations to vehicles seats available through transportation providers in Link for Care.

- **Transportation Choice**: Includes potential measures such as percent of work trips using alternative modes, transit boardings per revenue service hour, and change in density at/near mobility hubs and along key centers/corridors.

MARC is currently continuing to vet these issues, and to develop a final set of strategies, through public and agency discourse.

**KCMO TOD Policy**

The TOD Policy was an outgrowth of a variety of initiatives, each with its own public and agency engagement efforts. In particular, the NextRail KC Planning Process, which examined potential expansion routes (including Main Street), had a robust engagement process:

- Total estimated engagement: 20,000 stakeholders including person-to-person and social media.
- 229 community meetings with 219 neighborhoods, businesses, and other organizations 8,315 online participants.
- The draft document was also placed on the City’s “My Sidewalk” web site with a series of questions to obtain additional feedback.
- A series of public presentations were also made to close to two dozen community groups and stakeholders.
- The policy is informed by both public input as well as an extensive review of best practices.

Letters of support were received from several key stakeholder groups and agencies including MARC, the Transit Action Network, MainCor, and the Downtown Neighborhood Association.

**Main Corridor Overlay**

The Overlay plan was originally requested by MainCor, the champion and leading community partner of the corridor working to support commercial, mixed use, and residential progress, and effective and responsible community, economic, and aesthetic developments that create and encourage the renewal and vitality of Midtown. The Overlay was developed by KCMO City Planning and Development in close collaboration with MainCor and Main Street stakeholders, and was adopted by the City Council via ordinance.

**3.4.2 Regulatory and Financial Incentives to Promote Transit-Supportive Development**

With the implementation of the downtown streetcar, the city created a set of expedited permit review procedures (“TDD Project Incentive and Coordination Program”) and criteria to incentivize development within the streetcar influence area. Key elements of the program include a designated TDD Project Facilitator, Development Assistance Team Meetings, plan review times halved, designated project staff, and flagging of TDD parcels.

Another financial incentive is Tax Increment Financing (TIF), a financing and development tool used by Kansas City to encourage the development of blighted, substandard, and economically underutilized
areas that would not be developed without public investment. The use of this tool allows future real property taxes and other taxes generated by new development to pay for public infrastructure construction and other improvement costs.
Figure 4. TIF Incentive Area along the Corridor

KC Streetcar - Main Street Extension, TIF Incentive Areas

Note: Stop dots are located at intersection lines; final stop locations TBD.
3.4.3 Efforts to Engage the Development Community in Station Area Planning and Transit-Supportive Development

Following the pattern established during the planning of the Downtown streetcar line, efforts to engage the development community in station-area planning and transit-supportive development for the Main Street extension started with the alternatives analysis and best lane analysis for the project. Meetings have been held one-on-one with 20 plus stakeholders, including developers and property owners, along the corridor. In these meetings, the project team has gathered feedback on Purpose and Need, alignment, stop locations, development plans, specific user needs, technical findings, and recommendations. The project team has listened – and will continue to listen – to concerns, has shared plans and documents, and has tailored the project to meet needs. Letters of support have already been received indicating transit-supportive development interests from the Union Hill Neighborhood and a conglomerate comprised of the Kansas City Art Institute, Kemper Museum of Contemporary Art, and the Nelson-Atkins Art Museum. These letters have included focus on the location of particular stops, and the economic and cultural activities they will support. As the project moves into environmental studies and design, stakeholder engagement efforts are being increasingly ramped up.
4. Performance and Impacts of Land Use Policies

4.1 Performance of Land Use Policies

4.1.1 Demonstrated Cases of Developments Affected by Transit-Supportive Policies

Development activity within the original streetcar TDD since 2016 is the best indicator of success from implementation of a new transit initiative. Since the Streetcar commenced operations (January 2018), 25 projects have been announced, 14 have permits under review, 31 projects are under construction, and 64 projects have been completed within the TDD. These projects, totaling $2.2 Billion in construction value, include storied Kansas City landmarks such as the Power and Light District, Jack Stack Barbeque, and the Baltimore Club. Some of these projects are of a development type that was not occurring before the advent of the streetcar, and some of them (e.g., the Two Light residential tower) were accelerated by the streetcar.

Annual tax receipts indicate that the current TDD generated a 58 percent increase in revenue between 2014 and 2016 compared to a 16 percent increase for the entire city during the same time frame. Broad public support of this kind of resurgence is evidenced by the June 21, 2018 voter approval of expanding the existing TDD to include the Main Street Extension project.

Figure 5. TDD Projects since Streetcar Implementation ($2.2 Billion)
Within the extension corridor itself, several recent projects or initiatives are showing the advance effects of the streetcar implementation. Denser, transit-oriented projects are beginning to occur on the corridor. Notable recent examples include:

- **3435 Main**: This project, which opened in 2017, features 84 market-rate apartments and 2,500 square feet of ground-floor retail, replacing a vacant lot.

- **Gallerie**: This project, which opened in 2018, includes 411 apartments and 10 townhomes, replacing an existing surface parking lot.

- **Brookside 51 Apartments/Whole Foods**: A mixed-use development, Brookside 51 contains 170 luxury apartments, now pre-leasing, and Kansas City’s first Whole Foods store (opened in May 2018) on its first floor.

- **Commercial Development at 43rd and Main**: Properties on three of the four corners at 43rd and Main have been redeveloped in recent years reflecting an increasing momentum of development with denser more transit oriented styles. Capital Federal Bank expanded its presence in Missouri through the construction of a new urban design style branch in Midtown KCMO on the northeast corner, which was completed in late 2013. In December 2017, an existing H&R Block commercial building was updated to mixed use integrating a new 1,500 square foot Roasterie Cafe. In March 2019, the building reopened housing and expanded and upgraded Italian bistro, Ragazza, with apartments replacing commercial spaces on the 2nd floor.

*Figure 6. Notable Recent Developments within the Corridor*
4.1.2 Station Area Development Proposals and Status

The streetcar extension corridor is one of the City’s most active development markets, in part due to the anticipated streetcar extension. Key planned developments are described below:

Crown Center

In early 2018, Hallmark Cards put two major development sites in play along the proposed streetcar line, after these sites have been sitting vacant or being used for surface parking since the development of Crown Center in the 1970s. These sites are adjacent to the proposed 27th Street streetcar station-stop. The development includes:

- A 2.67-acre site located immediately adjacent to Main Street south of 27th Street is being marketed as a potential corporate headquarters location with views of downtown Kansas City, Liberty Memorial, and Union Station. The site is master-planned for a high-rise, Class A office building with up to 400,000 square feet of office space.

- A 4.4-acre site located one block east is described as a development opportunity for a “unique, mid-high rise multifamily” project. The developer has assessed the site as follows: “Centrally located near the KC streetcar, the available landsite is primed to attract developers from across the entire US” In deciding to offer more of its undeveloped land for sale, Hallmark has said it is responding to an “active” downtown real estate market.

Figure 7. Crown Center Development Site
Main Street Extension Economic Development

The Netherlands

Located near the proposed 39th Street station-stop, this 13-year-vacant historic hotel is being redeveloped into a $34 million dollar workforce housing (80 to 120 percent of AMI) project that will include 144 apartments. Rents are expected to be about $600 per month for a 375 square-foot studio, $1,000 for a 577 square-foot one-bedroom and $1,500 for an 825 square-foot two-bedroom apartment. Construction is expected to be completed later in the summer of 2019. A second-phase $15 million dollar development is expected to include a small grocery store, structured parking and either a small hotel or additional apartments geared toward the 55 and over demographic rehabbing the adjacent historic Monarch building and replacing the adjacent surface parking lot. The developer has directly stated that the streetcar extension is the catalyst driving the project. The low rents and direct transportation connection to UMKC are making the long-dormant project viable.

Kimpton Hotel

A $95 million plan to convert a former 245 room Holiday Inn is underway. The existing property had fallen into disrepair and struggled financially for almost 10 years to be redeveloped. The new proposal will rehab the existing structure into a 275 room Kimpton branded Hotel, which is expected to be completed in the second half of 2021. The project would be located near the proposed streetcar stop at 45th Avenue and Main Street. This project will also serve as a gateway to a proposed arts and culture district including the Kemper Museum of Contemporary Art and the campus of the Kansas City Art Institute to the east. The project includes community funding for streetscape improvements, art sculpture installations valued at $600,000 and $250,000 in funding to help correct housing code violations in impoverished areas.

4.2 Potential Impact of Transit Project on Regional Land Use

4.2.1 Adaptability of Station Area Land for Development

Kansas City’s downtown has seen significant development activity since the announcement of the streetcar. On the Main Street extension corridor itself, recent built and planned developments are evidence of a strong market, but also of an anticipation of the streetcar extending south along the corridor.

Figure 9 illustrates the location of nearly 48 acres of vacant land within the corridor. Notable properties include the development sites actively being marketed east of Main Street at 27th Street, and the two-acre site owned by American Century Investments in the southeast corner of the intersection of 44th Street and Main Street.

The Main Corridor Overlay Plan categorizes much of Main Street as Transit Nodes, allowing for building heights up to 15 stories – and almost all of the remainder as Transitional Zones, allowing for building heights up to 10 stories. By contrast, between 30th Street and 44th Street (1.7 miles), Main Street today has only five buildings over three stories tall, and only one building over seven stories tall. The corridor is ripe for density; the highest and best uses remain to be built in much of the corridor. The streetcar extension is seen as a catalyst for helping the corridor transition to this desired state.
### Table 3: Station Area Vacant Parcels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Streetcar Station Area</th>
<th>Vacant Lots, Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pershing</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31st</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39th</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43rd</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45th</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51st</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>47.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 8. Vacant Land within the Corridor*
4.2.2 Corridor Economic Environment

Population Characteristics

The streetcar expansion corridor is characterized by vast potential due to the young, educated workforce coupled with high projections for growth both in population and employment. The corridor population is nearly 21,000, roughly 4 percent of the City’s population (while occupying around 1 percent of the City’s land mass). The corridor employs nearly 53,000 individuals, roughly 22 percent of the total employment in the City.

Citywide, population is projected to grow at a rate of 9 percent between 2010 and 2020, and over 42 percent between 2020 and 2040 (Mid-America Regional Council forecasts). The expansion corridor itself is ripe for future growth with a combination of a young, educated workforce; a large amount of land primed for higher and better uses; and high projections for growth both in population and employment. Currently, 44 percent of individuals in the corridor fall between the ages of 20 to 34 years old, and 60 percent of the population over the age of 25 have a Bachelor’s degree or beyond.

Table 4. Corridor Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Corridor 2010-2020</th>
<th>KCMO 2020-2040</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Population</td>
<td>20,200</td>
<td>481,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Growth Rate</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Median Income within the Corridor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>KCMO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Income</td>
<td>$46,144</td>
<td>$59,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Home Sale Price</td>
<td>$211,532</td>
<td>$164,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employment

Roughly 74 percent of all employed individuals within the corridor are employed in white-collar occupations such as management or sales, with the remainder of workers located in service, construction, or transportation occupations. The average wage in the corridor ($23.59/hour) is about 10 percent below the city average. The current unemployment rate in the corridor (4.6 percent) is about 25 percent higher than the city average. According to the Census, the total labor force in the corridor is 14,130. Median income along the corridor ($46,144) is 20 to 25 percent below the citywide median income.
Public transit is important to the corridor: 15 percent of households have no access to a vehicle. However, only 5 percent of commuters in this area use public transportation, a number that could be greatly expanded through the streetcar expansion. Expansion of transportation will only further employment gains within the area by allowing access to and from the corridor that otherwise would have been difficult for potential employees.

Major employment centers within the corridor include Union Station / Crown Center, Midtown Marketplace, Country Club Plaza, and UMKC. Other major employers in the corridor include:

- Federal Reserve (1,600 employees)
- IRS Service Center (4,400 employees)
- MCC Penn Valley (6,000 students)
- American Century (multi-tenant office towers)

**Figure 9. Corridor Employment Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Hourly Wage</td>
<td>$23.59</td>
<td>$26.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Force</td>
<td>14,130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Jobs</td>
<td>13,483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Property Values

Currently, 77 percent of individuals live in a multi-family structure, with the remainder living in single-family housing units. Approximately 85 percent of corridor residents live in a home valued at $100,000 or more. The median home sale price in the corridor ($211,532) is 29 percent higher than the citywide median home value. Property values have increased in the corridor by 15 percent in the last decade, a sign of a healthy, growing corridor.

Table 6. Corridor Assessed Property Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor Assessed Property Values</th>
<th>2008 vs. 2018</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Total and Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land</td>
<td>$176M</td>
<td>$221M</td>
<td>$45M (+20.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements</td>
<td>$553M</td>
<td>$639M</td>
<td>$86M (+13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$729M</td>
<td>$860M</td>
<td>$131M (+15.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Real Estate Market

Vacancy rates for office, industrial, and retail properties are in the 2- to 5-percent range, about two percentage points lower than comparable citywide uses. These properties typically stay on the market about five fewer months than the city average. Nearly 60 percent of the office buildings currently under construction in Kansas City are in this corridor, and 24 percent of the City’s office square footage is in the corridor. Industrial and office rents are 8 to 9 percent higher than the citywide average, while retail rents are about 6 percent lower than citywide. Multi-family vacancy rates are about a half percentage point below citywide averages.

Figure 14 on the following page provides additional detail on the current real estate market conditions within the corridor and region for comparative purposes.
## Table 7. Corridor Real Estate Market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use Type</th>
<th>Gross Rent (per sf)</th>
<th>Vacancy Rate</th>
<th>Vacant Space (sf)</th>
<th>Months on Market (avg)</th>
<th>Inventory</th>
<th>Under construction (ksf)</th>
<th>Sales Prices (per sf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>$20.13</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>700,389</td>
<td>13 (29.1)</td>
<td>346 bldgs, 13.8M sf</td>
<td>375 ksf</td>
<td>$82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>$18.56</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>4.28M</td>
<td>18.7 (29.7)</td>
<td>1,554 bldgs, 57.9M sf</td>
<td>627 ksf</td>
<td>$108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>$8.07</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>35,512</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>94 bldgs, 1.9M sf</td>
<td>0 ksf</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>$6.78</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.01M</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2.170 bldgs, 96M sf</td>
<td>631 ksf</td>
<td>$37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retail</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>$13.26</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>124,577</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>402 bldgs, 4.6M sf</td>
<td>3 ksf</td>
<td>$148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>$14.15</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1.72M</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3,239 bldgs, 35.5M sf</td>
<td>10.3 ksf</td>
<td>$221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi-Family Housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>1 BR $936 2BR $1294 3BR $1270</td>
<td>7.70%</td>
<td>811 units</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>10,559 units</td>
<td>649 units</td>
<td>$35k/ unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>1 BR $836 2 BR $1012 3 BR $1125</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>6,145 units</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>73,823 units</td>
<td>3,200 units</td>
<td>$88k/ unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Tools to Maintain or Increase the Share of Affordable Housing

5.1 Evaluation of Corridor-specific Affordable Housing Needs and Supply

KCMO Housing Survey

A housing survey was given to the citizens of Kansas City in spring 2018. The purpose of the survey was to gain insight into the housing needs and preferences of the residents. The survey results will ultimately be used to better help the city allocate resources toward housing programs and areas of focus to prioritize for housing policies. An overwhelming number of respondents stated that it was very important for the City to focus on developing a housing policy and to invest in housing programs, and large numbers indicated they faced barriers to becoming homeowners. One of the survey questions was focused on the level of support for City investing in the developing of policies and procedures. The answers are shown in Figure 15: over 50 percent of respondents were supportive / very supportive regarding the topic of affordability and quality of rental housing. The results of the survey align with the goals of the City in developing and establishing a housing policy to support affordable housing initiatives.

Figure 10. KCMO Housing Survey Question
### Table 8. Corridor Affordable Housing Condition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station*</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th># units</th>
<th>Station-area totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union Station</td>
<td>Signal Hill Townhomes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31st Street</td>
<td>Columbia Lofts</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3010-3016 Grand</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour Blvd.</td>
<td>200-212 Armour Blvd.</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Historic Ellison Apts.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyde Park</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgian Ct.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3344 50 Gillham Road</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39th Street</td>
<td>Chatham Apts.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3914 Warwick</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alcazar Apts.</td>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4021-25 Walnut</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valentine Apts.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43rd Street</td>
<td>4105 Warwick</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4145-47 Locust</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edgemere Apts.</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51st Street</td>
<td>5417 Locust</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not all stations have affordable housing within 1/2-mile*
Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) Plan

In 2016, the City of Kansas City, Missouri along with Kansas City, Kansas; Independence, Missouri; Blue Springs, Missouri; and Leavenworth, Kansas supported by Mid-America Regional Council (MARC), with consultation from the Regional Equity Network and a number of housing experts, prepared an Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) Plan for the Kansas City metropolitan area. The purpose of the plan was to evaluate barriers for families most in need; and to inform and educate the region and each community’s Five Year Consolidated Plan with an emphasis on the needs of those living in areas of concentrated poverty and persons of color. As part of this process, an analysis tool was developed to compare the number of households in various income bands against the number of housing units in various rent and home value ranges, with affordability thresholds for rents based on 30 percent of household income and home values based on four times the household income.

Using this same supply/demand analysis tool, census tracts within the proposed streetcar corridor were evaluated showing that there is a gap of 2,347 units of housing for households with incomes at or below $20,000. This shows market demand for housing units at or below $500 per month and at or above $1,250 month, which will need to be considered when evaluating any future residential development projects that may be attracted to the future streetcar corridor. This will ensure that catalyzed development addresses current market shortfalls and provides a spectrum of rent/mortgage ranges for future residents of the area, consistent with the economic development policies of the City Council.

In addition, The Mid-America Regional Council (MARC) made multiple recommendations that would increase the ability of individuals within the metropolitan area to access and afford housing. Across the greater metropolitan region, efforts have been made to increase access to areas of opportunity for traditionally racially concentrated areas of poverty. Through expansions of the transit system including the bus system and the streetcar, individuals located in distressed neighborhoods are more able to access employment across the metropolitan area. In addition to improving overall access to low-cost transportation, the City has fully embraced MARC’s recommendation of fostering collaboration within the community in order to promote a housing agenda that provides access to affordable housing to areas with need. Multiple development groups, non-profits, and other stakeholders within the community have provided insight into the developing Housing Policy, in order to ensure that the needs of the community are being met in every possible way.

5.2 Plan and Policies to Preserve and Increase Affordable Housing in the Region and/or Corridor

Redevelopment of downtown has been a priority for Kansas City since the mid to late 1990s and in 2016 with the opening of the KC Streetcar, downtown development reached an important tipping point. It has been estimated that the KC Streetcar spurred over $2 Billion in new development since its opening on May 6th of that year.

As downtown stabilized and began to thrive, economic development priorities began to shift rapidly towards other areas of need including housing affordability. Declining federal funding, emergence from the Great Recession, local recovery from the housing foreclosure crisis, and a renewed interest in walkable urban living, combined with a boom in new market-rate and luxury high-rise apartment development, brought attention to the issue and new pressures to the market.
Over the past three and a half years Kansas City has dedicated substantial resources and undertaken multiple efforts to study this issue and begin developing policy solutions to ensure access to high-quality housing for all residents.

2017 – Comprehensive Housing Policy Development Efforts Undertaken

In February 2017, the City adopted a 2017-2021 Five Year Consolidated Plan and One Year Action Plan that provided funding and recommendations for the use of federal formula funds toward areas and projects that create improvements in affordable housing. In parallel to the City’s consolidated planning process, redevelopment agencies such as the Land Clearance for Redevelopment Authority (LCRA) have become increasingly aware of the growing disparity in housing for middle- and low-income residents, and a declining pipeline of affordable units in downtown and throughout the Kansas City market.

Pipeline of Affordable Units - Downtown

In November 2017, in response to this and other growing concerns about affordable housing, the City Council adopted their Citywide Business Plan with an overarching goal for Housing “To sustain the City’s diverse housing for all income groups through strategic planning and well-designed developments, with an emphasis on revitalizing aging neighborhoods” and Planning, Zoning and Economic Development “To develop a vibrant economy where there is opportunity for job creation, entrepreneurship, and growth particularly in historically underdeveloped areas; all citizens have opportunities for creating wealth and prosperity and visitors continue to consider Kansas City a desirable destination.”

During 2017 and 2018, the City Council began to shape its legislative priorities and future policies for affordable housing through a series of legislative efforts that began with an ordinance aimed at assessing the status of housing citywide and using that information to craft a five-year policy.

Affordable Housing Policies

In 2017, City Council passed an Ordinance 170825 directing the City Manager to provide information on the overall state of housing policy at the federal, state and local levels and, based on this information,
develop a local five-year policy. This ordinance jump-started 12 months of extensive community outreach and policy development discussion that has resulted in a growing housing policy agenda:

- **Ordinance 171031**: A sales tax exemption on construction materials for projects including at least 10 percent units meeting affordability definitions.

- **Ordinance 180370**: An official affordable housing definition policy setting a rent level at or below the median individual income in Kansas City as a requirement on projects seeking taxpayer-supported incentives based on affordability determinations.

### Healthy Homes Rental Inspection Program

In August 2018, Kansas City voters approved a new Healthy Homes Rental Inspection Program providing regulations for minimum health and safety standards in rental housing in Kansas City and requiring rental property to register properties and submit to routine and/or complaint driven inspections.

### Five Year Housing Policy

In September 2018, City staff presented a draft housing policy to City Council in response to Ordinance 170825 outlining five “bold ideas:"

1. Create/preserve 5,000 additional single family and multifamily housing units by December 2023;

2. Establish a $75 million catalytic housing development (trust) fund to create and preserve units;

3. Support non-profit and private developers with resources, less burdensome regulations and appropriate incentives;

4. Recognize that housing is essential for stronger and vibrant neighborhoods and the housing policy must emphasize holistic revitalization, promote mixed-income development, and help existing homeowners and first buyers live and remain in their homes;

5. Create a Tenant/Landlord University to model best practices to improve tenant/landlord relationship.

These goals are oriented to the entire Kansas City area, but relate particularly well to the streetcar expansion route through the midtown of Kansas City. These policies position the streetcar expansion route as a massive catalyst of dense and affordable housing along the major transportation corridor of Main Street.

In December 2018, members of the City Council’s Housing Committee introduced a legislative framework built around these five ideas for the City’s housing policies and priorities over the next five years. These efforts included:
● **Ordinance 180923:** A temporary ad valorem tax increase on real and tangible personal property within the City of five hundredth cents per one hundred dollars for ten years to help fund affordable housing needs. This Ordinance is still being discussed by the City Council, and will be discussed in detail as a part of the City’s preparation for the upcoming FY 20/21 Budget.

● **Ordinance 180719 and Resolution 180720:** Passed by Council in December, 2018, Amended the Code of Ordinances to create a new Housing Trust fund and a companion resolution directing the City Manager to identify $75 million in catalytic funding for the Trust and establishing priorities for the use of trust funds including:

  ▪ Construction of 5,000 new or renovated single family and multifamily units;
  ▪ Preservation existing housing for use as affordable units, provide funding to encourage home ownership;
  ▪ Provide funding for minor or major home repair of aging structures; and provide funding for legal services for those facing eviction or neighborhoods looking to purchase blighting structures.

The fund is envisioned to incorporate a variety of revenue sources, including in-lieu cash payments, donations, sales taxes, and potential bond proceeds in order to leverage additional private financing for the preservation and addition of affordable housing units.

● **Ordinances 180725 and 180726:** Passed in December, 2018, these ordinances remove regulatory barriers for construction of new affordable housing units and establish “safe harbor” provisions allowing for waiver of fines/liens and reprieve for code violations on residential properties newly acquired by redevelopers for its rehabilitation and reoccupation.

● **Ordinance 180701:** In January, 2019 the City Council updated their AdvanceKC incentives evaluation Scorecard to include increase preferences for incentivized projects including affordable housing units.

● **Ordinances 180724 and 180722:** In February, 2019 the City Council adopted an ordinance amending the City Code of Ordinances to expand fair housing protections related to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking and an Ordinance to undertake a nexus study for the purpose of determining feasible inclusionary zoning thresholds and/or linkage fees to increase the availability of affordable housing units.

The Five-Year Housing Plan (Ordinance #190022) is an aspirational plan, the outcome of the legislative efforts of the individual ordinances crafted over the past 18 months, with substantial public engagement and dozens of community meetings on the topic of affordable housing, including engagement of stakeholders within the proposed streetcar expansion corridor. This aspirational Plan sets forth five goals for the City to pursue to ensure an adequate supply of affordable and safe housing. Many of the initiatives within the plan will continue to evolve and change over time, requiring ongoing efforts from the City Manager and staff to implement specific programs and initiatives that support the pursuit of the five goals.
5.3 Adopted Financing Tools and Strategies Targeted to Preserving and Increasing Affordable Housing in the Region and/or Corridor

Like most large municipalities, Kansas City is an entitlement city and utilizes both state and federal pass-through funds to support its affordable housing policy objectives. In response to increasing scarcity of LIHTC, CDBG, HOME and other state and federal resources for funding of affordable housing, the City of Kansas City has increasingly utilized other locally based tools and partnerships in order to fund important affordable housing projects. However, the City continues to work with regional partners to address the issue of affordable housing within the region in conjunction with other cities and planning agencies. Kansas City has joined forces with the region through the Greater Kansas City Continuum of Care, serving Jackson and Wyandotte Counties spanning two states, Kansas and Missouri. The regional body coordinates housing and services funding for homeless families and individuals using federal/state/local funding to quickly house and provide services to families experiencing homelessness.

Currently, the city is able to offer up to 100 percent tax abatement for up to 25 years as well as exemption on sales taxes on construction materials through several statutorily created redevelopment programs including Chapter 100, Planned Industrial Expansion Authority, Chapter 353, and the Port Authority.

One especially successful tool for funding neighborhood and housing improvements has been Tax Increment Financing. The City currently has seven existing Housing/Neighborhood Programs that have collectively resulted in 1,027 single-family rehabs, 20 single family rental conversions, and 329 units of rehabbed small scale multi-family residential. One of the most successful TIF housing programs, which is currently still underway and is located within the proposed Streetcar Corridor, is the Midtown R.A.M.P (Rehabilitation Assistance for Midtown Properties) Program. This Program, part of the Midtown TIF Plan that was created in 1994 to clear 12 city blocks of dilapidated properties and replace with large scale retail and restaurants in an underserved area of the community, was used to preserve, rehab and
construct safe residential housing and supportive infrastructure improvements in neighborhoods adjacent to the development site. The RAMP program provided matching grant funds to single-family residential property owners and small-scale multi-family developments within a mile of the redevelopment site and preserved 409 units of single-family housing, 20 units of rental conversions and 96 units of multi-family utilizing approximately $4.5M in tax increment as matching funds to almost $3M in new investments by homeowners. As the proposed Streetcar Corridor develops, TIF could be an effective tool to generate increment that could be used as funding for similar grant match programs or gap financing in support of new affordable housing units.

Another innovative housing strategy that was utilized in conjunction with the Midtown TIF Plan was the Midtown Business Interruption Fund (MBIF). This fund, originally used as a source of contingency funding for the City’s debt service commitment on the project in the event any of the anchor tenants were unsuccessful, has evolved into a gap financing tool for rehab of large-scale multi-family workforce housing near the proposed extension. Because the retail components of the TIF plan were so successful, being ranked among the top-producing stores for both chains in the metro area, more revenues were generated than necessary to service debt. A pool of approximately $11M in MBIF funds was then strategically redeployed in combination with tax abatement as gap funding for rehab of three historic multi-family properties and strategic land assembly along the Armour Boulevard corridor, which bisects the proposed streetcar extension route. This gap funding functioned similarly to a housing trust fund and was essential to the stabilization of this area of Midtown resulting in the rehabilitation of almost 1,700 residential units including 120 affordable units, and 32,500 square feet of retail and office space.

**Midtown Proactive Affordable Housing Abatement Program**

In March 2019, Kansas City’s Planned Industrial Expansion Authority presented a preliminary plan to create a proactive Midtown affordable housing area. This plan is being designed specifically to address gentrification pressures ahead of the streetcar extension. Based on development activity in the starter line corridor, the City expects to see similar increases in development along the extension. This plan would focus on housing that would be affordable to residents at 70 percent of AMI or below and offer up to 25 Years of tax abatement at 100 percent for the first 10 years and 50 percent for the following 15 years to developers who would incorporate at least 15 percent of units meeting the City Council’s definition of affordable.

### 5.4 Evidence of Developer and Public Sector Activity to Preserve and Increase Affordable Housing in the Corridor

The City has an inventory of approximately 1,400 LIHTC/HUD Subsidy units within the proposed Streetcar extension corridor. It is anticipated that the compliance period for many of those units will continue beyond 2023.

Since the late 1990s and early 2000s, the City has aggressively utilized tax abatement and tax increment financing to redevelop catalytic residential corridors in proximity to the proposed Streetcar Corridor – including partnerships with MAC Properties to redevelop over 24 historic buildings along the Armour Boulevard Corridor, multiple redevelopment projects along Broadway with other national developers, and investments through its RAMP program in the vicinity of the Midtown Marketplace.

Overall, the Midtown area benefits from historic neighborhoods, a diverse population, the presence of significant institutions, and a number of vibrant commercial districts. The Midtown area housing stock is
older than the citywide average, but the majority of area housing has held its value, and is already a sought after location for urban home ownership and rental units. Currently, affordable rental housing is still available in close proximity to many of the City’s most recognized destinations and building permit data indicates that reinvestment in the area is strong. These amenities make the corridor extremely attractive for future development but also make the area vulnerable to gentrification pressures that come with new development interest.

Housing affordability citywide, especially for renters, is an emerging issue, but the new Five Year Housing Plan will seek to establish new programs and strategies to manage growth, address gentrification pressures, and expand access to more affordable and mixed-income housing options for residents, including those within the proposed corridor. The City’s strong track record of creatively utilizing economic development tools to leverage private, state, and federal resources grounded in a new and comprehensive housing policy will position Kansas City well to be able to both capitalize on and manage future growth in the proposed Streetcar corridor.

5.5 Extent to Which Plans and Policies Account for Long-Term Affordability and Needs of the Very-and Extremely-Low Income Households in the Corridor

As part of the Five-Year AFFH goals, the City of Kansas City’s goals are to 1) encourage accessible affordable housing units along key transit and activity centers and 2) develop partnerships and policies that help renovate and transform neighborhoods that have public subsidies into areas of opportunities for all income groups including those families and individuals at the lower income levels.

The City will continue to support policies/partners, and provide federal/state/local funding for developments, that provide preservation efforts for Low Income Housing developments and HUD subsidized developments housing units for our most vulnerable residents. In addition, the City will support leveraged financing and recommend allocating federal funding for mixed income projects that are consistent with redevelopment plans such as transit lines that remove the mobility and accessibility barrier. The City will continue its partnership with the Housing Authority of Kansas City to increase and preserve the number of publicly owned affordable housing units for very low and low income residents through a number of targeted strategies with state and federal agencies. The City is also seeking to develop a variety of innovative locally controlled funding mechanisms to provide resources for affordable housing in response to the reduction in state and federal funding programs.