Streetcar Riverfront Extension & Multi-Modal Feasibility Study
Preproposal meeting | November 9, 2016 1:30pm | Singleton Yard

1. Intro by Tom Gerend, executive director, KCSA

2. Start of presentation
   • Intro: This is an Investigation of what is possible
   • Study Area: riverfront park. northern terminus is the river. Looking at all modes of transit. This is a unique opportunity to connect the riverfront with the rest of downtown with the hopes that development will follow.
   • Dates: Proposals due November 21
   • Interviews: possible first week of December with the goal of moving this project forward before the end of the year.
   • Project Management Team: For review of proposals, interviews and the project management:
     ▪ KCSA
     ▪ Post KC
     ▪ KCATA
     ▪ KCMO
   • Scope of Work
   • Requirements
   • Evaluation criteria
   • MBE/WBE participation goals of 13% and 8%

3. Review of questions submitted via writing

   Q: Under Task 3, where “transit connectivity and interface” is mentioned, is the Authority looking for an analysis of bus (or other rubber-tired) transit as an alternative to streetcar accessing the Riverfront?
   A: The study seeks to look at the feasibility of both a northern streetcar extension and the potential for northern extension and/or connection of bus transit services.
   Recommendations could suggest one mode or multiple modes to enhance future connectivity.
Q: Under Task 5, where ridership estimates for “proposed bus or BRT services” are mentioned, are you referring to such alternatives? Are you also requesting ridership forecasts for all bus transit routes that access 3rd & Grand?
A: The study seeks a high-level assessment of ridership potential and demand for proposed riverfront extensions of streetcar and/or bus connections only. Forecasts for all connecting services will not be required. Additionally, the method of ridership evaluation has not been pre-prescribed and will be based on proposed technical methodologies from proposing teams and project management team input.

Q: On page 3, reference is made to, “the firm’s capabilities to carry out this AA”. Please confirm that AA should be replaced with “multi-modal feasibility study”.
A: Correct.

Q: Is the last day for questions November 4th or three days prior to the submittal date of November 21 as noted in Paragraph 18.
A: November 4th, although questions can be raised and addressed at the 11/9 Pre-Proposal Conference. A summary of all questions and answers will be posted on-line later that week.

Q: In Paragraph 13 – Evaluation Criteria – will these criteria be scored or weighted differently – in other words, will some criteria have more weight than others?
A: No. They will be equally weighted and considered.

Q: Please confirm that Task 9’s reference to Task 2 includes only the items shown in Task 2.
A: Correct.

Q: In Section 5c(2) on page 3 of the RFQ/P, reference is made to LEED experience. For this alignment and considering the Streetcar’s previously earned Envision Platinum award, please confirm that KC SA requests Envision experience, not LEED experience.
A: References to LEED, Envision, or references to pertinent environmental or sustainability related experience would be acceptable.

Q: Would you please provide a copy of the technical memorandum referenced in the RFP.
A: Yes. We will post with the resulting Questions and Answers on the www.KCstreetcar.org website.

Q: Please confirm that the HRD forms should be included as an attachment and do not add to the page count.
A: Correct, they are attachments and do not add to the page count.

Q: Page 4 states that items can be attached to the response to the RFP. Do these attachments contribute toward the page count limit of 50 pages?
A: No. Attachments do not count towards the page count.
**Q:** Regarding the Subcontractor Final Payment Affidavit, should we submit with our proposal noting that this form is acceptable or is KCSA looking for something else?
**A:** No action required from proposers at this time. The form is to notify potential proposers of the Final Payment Affidavit requirement.

**Q:** Regarding Percentage of Projects (Pg 3, c(1)(b)) and existing and anticipated workload, please confirm that KSCA is looking for (a) percentage person is working on other projects or (b) names of other projects and their percentages.
**A:** KCSA is looking for (a), the proposed percentage of time project staff will be assigned to this effort.

4. **Questions/Comments from meeting**

**Q:** Will you send out the list of meeting attendees to this group?

**A:** Yes, we will post on our website, www.kcstreetcar.org, along with the presentation. We will notify the group from the meeting via email when posted.

No other questions. Meeting concluded at 2:01 p.m.