STREETCAR RIVERFRONT EXTENSION & MULTI-MODAL FEASIBILITY STUDY

NOVEMBER 9, 2016
PURPOSE

The KC Streetcar Authority, in coordination with Port KC and the KCATA, is seeking proposals from qualified firms to conduct a Streetcar Riverfront Extension and Multi-Modal Feasibility Study to assess multi-modal transportation needs and the general feasibility of a northern riverfront extension of the Kansas City Streetcar.
STUDY AREA

The primary study area for this effort extends from downtown Kansas City, Missouri, and the River Market north to the Missouri River and Berkley Riverfront Park. The study area is bounded on the west by the ASB Bridge and the BNSF railroad right-of-way and on the east by the Senator Kit Bond Bridge. Consideration will be given to areas outside of the study area with regards to opportunities for longer-term extensions or phases of the identified northern corridor.
Berkley Riverfront Park Streetcar Extension
# Berkley Riverfront Park Streetcar Extension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFP issued</td>
<td>October 20, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of Questions</td>
<td>November 4, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-proposal Meeting</td>
<td>November 9, 2016 at 1:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responses to Questions</td>
<td>November 11, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposals Due</td>
<td>November 21, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews</td>
<td>November 28, 2016 (Subject to change)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection</td>
<td>November 29, 2016 (Subject to change)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Execution/ Notice to proceed</td>
<td>December 16, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Completion</td>
<td>TBD- Est. May 1, 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROPOSALS DUE NOV. 21 at 2:00 p.m.

Sealed Proposals are due by November 21, 2016 at 2:00pm. Proposals shall be sent to the Kansas City Streetcar Authority, Attention Tom Gerend, Executive Director, at 600 East 3rd Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Design Professionals should submit 4 hard copies and one electronic copy of their Proposal. Electronic copies can be either emailed or submitted on a CD or jump-drive. If emailing, send to tgerend@kcstreetcar.org.
PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM

KCSA will directly manage the study and services with our partners to jointly monitor and guide the planning effort throughout its duration.

The Project Management Team will consist of the following stakeholders:

• KC Streetcar Authority (Lead)
• Port KC
• Kansas City Area Transportation Authority (KCATA)
• City of Kansas City, Missouri
SCOPE

1. Project Purpose + Need
2. Develop Conceptual Streetcar Alignment Plans, including a structural analysis
3. Multi-Modal Connectivity Assessment
4. Economic Development Integration
5. Preliminary Ridership
6. Conceptual Operations Plan
7. Capital + Annual Operating Cost Estimate
8. Develop Funding + Financial Strategy
10. Public Engagement
REQUIREMENTS

• Proposals should include a recommended plan consistent with elements outlined in the Scope of Services.

• Respondents must provide a conceptual scope of work including specific methodologies and/or approaches that will be used to develop and formalize a locally preferred alternative. Innovative approaches for completion of the Scope/Statement of Services are encouraged.
REQUIREMENTS

• Overview and rationale of project approach.
• The name and address of the contracting firm, together with the name, telephone and fax number, and e-mail address of the primary contact person for purposes of this proposal
• A listing of all proposed subcontractors, if any.
• A conceptual project schedule.
• Description of key team members’ professional experience.
REQUIREMENTS

• Description of project approach.
  • Proposals should indicate general and specific qualifications in planning, engineering, project implementation, environmental review, disciplines appropriate to this project, and specifically convey the role of the proposer.
  • An emphasis and priority in evaluation will be placed on firms with qualifications and experience that have resulted in successful implementation of comparable systems.
  • A brief narrative may also be included regarding the firm’s capabilities to carry out this AA, including special assets, areas of expertise, analytical tools, and data sources, etc. to which the firm may have access.
REQUIREMENTS

• A listing and summary of similar projects undertaken within the last five (5) year, by proposing firm and/or its subcontractors, showing contract amounts, description of work performed, client contact persons, phone numbers, and e-mail addresses.

• Description of the existing and anticipated workload of individuals assigned to this project during the period of this study.

• References
REQUIREMENTS

• Description of experience in meeting ADA standards and conforming to LEED Gold standards.

• Description of the Quality Assurance Plan.

• Description of the earliest times and durations of time that services may commence and when it can be completed.

• List and Complete HRD forms, if applicable.
REQUIREMENTS

• Proposals should be limited to fifty (50) pages in 12 point Font on (8-1/2” x 11”) paper using one side of the page and numbered.

• Covers, Tables of Contents, and divider tabs will not count as pages, provided no additional information is included on those pages.

• Any supplemental information or documents (i.e., not required by this RFQ/P) that are included in the proposal should be marked as an Attachment and clearly identified in the Table of Contents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Competence</th>
<th>Specialized Experience and Technical Competence of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall Competence of Proposing Team</td>
<td>Experience of the prime contractor and subcontractor (s) related to planning, design, and implementation of comparable transit projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Competence</td>
<td>Experience of the Project Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Experience of other Assigned Individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding and Approach</td>
<td>Understanding of the nature of the project and clarity of proposed approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding key concepts, techniques, evaluation procedures, and best practices related to transit corridor planning, implementation, and study process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC Regional/Local Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding of regional transit related operations, structure, past planning efforts in Kansas City and a general understanding of the regional significance of the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarity of Proposal</td>
<td>General organization and clarity of the proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Management</td>
<td>Schedule of staff persons and hours commitment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM % of Effort</td>
<td>% of Effort for the project management staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Schedule and timeliness of products</td>
<td>Project schedule and timeliness of products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References/Work experience</td>
<td>Reference reflecting previous work experience of the project team and satisfactory accomplishment of contractor responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Final Products</td>
<td>Quality of final product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet schedules and Deadlines</td>
<td>Ability to meet work schedules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness to Client</td>
<td>Responsiveness of client input and needs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MBE/WBE PARTICIPATION

The goals for this specific project are 13% MBE participation and 8% WBE participation.

You must complete the City’s HRD Form 13 Affidavit of Intended Utilization and return it with your proposal.

D/M/WBE Directory and assistance available by calling the City’s Human Relations Department at 816-513-1818.
Q: Under Task 3, where “transit connectivity and interface” is mentioned, is the Authority looking for an analysis of bus (or other rubber-tired) transit as an alternative to streetcar accessing the Riverfront?

A: The study seeks to look at the feasibility of both a northern streetcar extension and the potential for northern extension and/or connection of bus transit services. Recommendations could suggest one mode or multiple modes to enhance future connectivity.
Q: Under Task 5, where ridership estimates for “proposed bus or BRT services” are mentioned, are you referring to such alternatives? Are you also requesting ridership forecasts for all bus transit routes that access 3rd & Grand?

A: The study seeks a high-level assessment of ridership potential and demand for proposed riverfront extensions of streetcar and/or bus connections only. Forecasts for all connecting services will not be required. Additionally the method of ridership evaluation has not been pre-prescribed and will be based on proposed technical methodologies from proposing teams and project management team input.
Q: On page 3, reference is made to, “the firm’s capabilities to carry out this AA”. Please confirm that AA should be replaced with “multi-modal feasibility study”.

A: Correct.

Q: Is the last day for questions November 4th or three days prior to the submittal date of November 21 as noted in Paragraph 18.

A: November 4th, although questions can be raised and addressed at the 11/9 Pre-Proposal Conference. A summary of all questions and answers will be posted on-line later that week.
Q&A

Q: In Paragraph 13 – Evaluation Criteria – will these criteria be scored or weighted differently – in other words, will some criteria have more weight than others?

A: No. They will be equally weighted and considered.

Q: Please confirm that Task 9’s reference to Task 2.2 includes only the items shown in Task 2.

A: Correct.

Q: In Section 5c(2) on page 3 of the RFQ/P, reference is made to LEED experience. For this alignment and considering the Streetcar’s previously earned Envision Platinum award, please confirm that KCSA requests Envision experience, not LEED experience.

A: References to LEED, Envision, or references to pertinent environmental or sustainability related experience would be acceptable.
Q&A

**Q:** Would you please provide a copy of the technical memorandum referenced in the RFP.

**A:** Yes. We will post with the resulting Questions and Answers on the [www.KCstreetcar.org](http://www.KCstreetcar.org) website.

**Q:** Please confirm that the HRD forms should be included as an attachment and do not add to the page count.

**A:** Correct, they are attachments and do not add to the page count.
Q&A

Q: Page 4 states that items can be attached to the response to the RFP. Do these attachments contribute toward the page count limit of 50 pages?

A: No. Attachments do not count towards the page count.

Q: Regarding the Subcontractor Final Payment Affidavit, should we submit with our proposal noting that this form is acceptable or is KCSA looking for something else?

A: No action required from proposers at this time. The form is to notify potential proposers of the Final Payment Affidavit requirement.

Q: Regarding Percentage of Projects (Pg 3, c(1)(b)) and existing and anticipated workload, please confirm that KCSA is looking for (a) percentage person is working on other projects or (b) names of other projects and their percentages.

A: KCSA is looking for (a), the proposed percentage of time project staff will be assigned to this effort.